Diastereoselective addition reactions of allylstannanes to carbonyl groups in the side-chain of π-allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes

Steven V. Ley* and Liam R. Cox

Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1EW

Lewis acid-mediated addition of allylstannanes to ketones adjacent to the allyl system of π-allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes generates the corresponding homoallylic tertiary alcohols with excellent diastereocontrol.

We recently reported that tertiary alcohols could be generated in good yield and with excellent stereocontrol by the addition of alkenyl, aryl, alkynyl and various alkyl aluminium reagents to ketone groups in the side-chain of π-allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes.[1] The allylation of these ketones would represent an important complement to the groups already transferred. We reasoned that allylstannanes, in the presence of a Lewis acid, would be sufficiently reactive to add to the ketone group α- to the allyl system of π-allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes. Although the stereoselective allylation of aldehydes with allyl tin reagents has been thoroughly investigated,[2] the corresponding reaction with ketones is appreciably less well documented.[3] Here we report that the highly diastereoselective addition of a wide variety of allylstannanes to Lewis acid-activated ketones in the side-chain of π-allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes generates the S_E2' homoallylic alcohol products in good to excellent yield.

Work has concentrated on additions to the *endo-* and *exo-*methyl ketone complexes **1** and **2** respectively. These are accessed in a four step sequence outlined in Scheme 1. Two sets of complexes have been synthesised differing in the substituent at the oxy-end of the allyl system: a methyl group in set **a** and a pentyl group in set **b** (Scheme 1). Starting from the appropriate allylic alcohol **3**, a directed epoxidation using either the VO(acac)$_2$/*tert*-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) or Ti(OPr^i)$_4$–TBHP systems afforded the corresponding epoxy alcohol **4** which was oxidised to the aldehyde **5** using pyridinium dichromate or *in situ*-generated Collins' reagent. Homologation using the commercially available,† stabilised ylide 1-triphenyl-

phosphoranylidenepropan-2-one in a Wittig reaction, proceeded smoothly to the epoxy enone **6** with good control of the double bond geometry [$E:Z > 20:1$ as determined by ^{1}H NMR (200 MHz)]. Treatment of **6** with Fe$_2$(CO)$_9$ in THF furnished the *endo-* and *exo-*complexes **1** and **2** respectively, which were readily separable by flash column chromatography.

A number of allylstannanes were synthesised according to standard literature procedures and gave moderate to excellent yields of product when added into the ketone (Table 1). In the case of stannanes **7**,† **8**[4] and **10**,[5] with both *exo-* and *endo-*complexes only one diastereoisomeric product could be observed by ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz), 95% de is thus a conservative estimate for the selectivity of the reaction. With crotyltributylstannane **9**,[6] two products, inseparable by flash column chromatography, were obtained in a 1:1 mixture. This is consistent with the addition reaction proceeding through an open transition state. Thus, whilst selectivity in forming the tertiary alcohol centre is maintained, no control is exerted over the adjacent stereocentre. With (*R*)-limonene trimethylallylstannane **11**,[7] two inseparable products were also obtained, corresponding to the addition of a homochiral stannane with racemic ketone. Allenyltributylstannane **12**[8] reacted slower than the majority of the allylstannanes and, probably as a consequence, gave a number of products: in addition to the

Table 1 Diastereoselective addition reactions to racemic π-allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes

Complex	Allylstannane	Product	Yield (%)	de (%)
1a		13	76	>95
1b	SnBu$_3$	14	84	>95
2a	**7**	15	81	95
2b		16	76	>95
1a	SnBu$_3$	17	90	>95
1b	**8**	18	57	>95
1b	SnBu$_3$ **9**	21	65	>95
1a	OBn SnBu$_3$ **10**	19, 20	20, 20	>95
1b	SnMe$_3$ **11**	22, 23	45, 45	>95
1a	=C= SnBu$_3$ **12**	24, 13	47, 4	95

Scheme 1 *Reagents and conditions for R = Me:* i, Ti(OPr^i)$_4$, Bu^{t}OOH (3 mol dm^{-3} in isooctane), 3 Å powdered molecular sieves, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C, 2 h, 42%; ii, Pyridinium dichromate, 3 Å powdered molecular sieves, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 17 h. Product not isolated; iii, MeC(O)CH=PPh$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C, 3 h, 10% over 2 steps; iv, Fe$_2$(CO)$_9$, THF, 1.5 h, 35% (**1a**), 10% (**2a**). *Reagents and conditions for R = C$_5$H$_{11}$:* i, VO(acac)$_2$, Bu^{t}OOH (3 mol dm^{-3} in isooctane), 4 Å powdered molecular sieves, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C, 1.5 h, 73%; ii, pyridine, CrO$_3$ Celite, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C to room temp., 1 h, 80%; iii, Me$_3$C(O)CH=PPh$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C, 2 h, 70%; iv, Fe$_2$(CO)$_9$, THF, 2.5 h, 67% (**1b**), 15% (**2b**).

single homoprop-2-ynylic alcohol, the secondary alcohol reduction product, possibly formed by a β-hydride transfer was obtained (35%), as was a very small amount of homoallylic alcohol **13**. No allenylic alcohol was observed.

The relative stereochemical outcome of the reaction has been established by comparison of the ¹H NMR (500 MHz) data of the hydrogenated product from the homoallylic alcohol **13** with the addition product **25** obtained from adding tripropylaluminium into the ketone (Scheme 2). The propyl product derived from both routes proved identical thus suggesting that the allylstannane nucleophile adds opposite to the bulky tricarbonyliron moiety *via* the *s-cis* conformer in a similar fashion to organoaluminium reagents (Fig. 1).[1]

The *endo*-addition products can be converted into the corresponding *E,E*-dienes *via* a high yielding, two step procedure (Scheme 3). Stereoselective decarboxylation to the η⁴-diene tricarbonyliron complex **26** proceeded readily upon treatment of **13** with Ba(OH)₂.[9] Subsequent decomplexation with alkaline H₂O₂ proceeded rapidly without loss of stereochemical integrity to release the *E,E*-diene **27** in good yield.[10] Other decomplexation routes[11] and further functionalisation of the side-chain unit are presently under investigation.

In conclusion, the highly diastereoselective addition of allylstannanes into the ketone of π-allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes provides a useful route to diastereoisomerically pure

1a R¹ = H, R² = Me   **13**   R¹ = H, R² = Me, R³ = H, R⁴ = H
b R¹ = H, R² = C₅H₁₁ **14**   R¹ = H, R² = C₅H₁₁, R³ = H, R⁴ = H
2a R¹ = Me, R² = H   **15**   R¹ = Me, R² = H, R³ = H, R⁴ = H
b R¹ = C₅H₁₁, R² = H **16**   R¹ = C₅H₁₁, R² = H, R³ = H, R⁴ = H
                17   R¹ = H, R² = Me, R³ = H, R⁴ = Me
                18   R¹ = H, R² = C₅H₁₁, R³ = H, R⁴ = Me
              19,20 R¹ = H, R² = Me, R³ = Me, R⁴ = H
                21   R¹ = H, R² = C₅H₁₁, R³ = H, R⁴ = CH₂OBn
              22,23 R¹ = H, R² = C₅H₁₁, R³ = H, R⁴ = (1'*R*)-4'-methylcyclohex-3'-enyl
                24   R¹ = H, R² = Me, R³ = H, R⁴ = –(CCH)

Scheme 2 *Reagents and conditions*: i, BF₃·OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, then allyltributylstannane, 0 °C, 3 h, 84%; H₂, Pd/C, EtOAc, 2.5 h, 70%; iii, Pr₃Al (1 mol dm⁻³ in toluene, CH₂Cl₂, −78 °C to room temp., 2 h, 6% (90% reduction product)

Nucleophile approaches
anti to the Fe(CO)₃ moiety

Fig. 1 Origins of the diastereoselectivity in the addition of nucleophiles to π-allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes

Scheme 3 *Reagents and conditions*: i, Ba(OH)₂ (saturated aqueous solution), MeOH, 20 min, 85%; ii, H₂O₂, NaOH, MeOH, 0 °C, 25 min, 72%

homoallylic alcohols. Furthermore, use of the Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation protocol[12] potentially allows access to homochiral iron complexes and hence to enantiomerically enriched homoallylic alcohols. The tricarbonyliron lactone tether provides a source of 1,5-asymmetric induction of chirality in the formation of the new stereogenic centre.

Footnotes

† Commercially available from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc.
‡ BF·OEt₂ proved to be the most effective Lewis acid.

References

1 S. V. Ley, G. Meek, K. H. Metten and C. Piqué, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1994, 1931.
2 For recent reviews see: (*a*) Y. Nishigaichi, A. Takuwa, Y. Naruta and K. Maruyama, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 7395; (*b*) Y. Yamamoto and N. Asao, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 2207.
3 A. B. Charette, A. F. Benslimone and C. Mellon, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 8557; D. J. Hallett and E. J. Thomas, *Synlett*, 1994, 87; T. Krämer, J.-R. Schwark and D. Hoppe, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 7037.
4 Y. Naruta, Y. Nishigaichi and K. Maruyama, *Chem. Lett.*, 1986, 1857.
5 W. C. Still, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 1481.
6 B. M. Trost and J. W. Herndon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 6835; Y. Ueno, H. Sano and M. Okawara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1980, **21**, 1767.
7 O. Desponds and M. Schlosser, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1991, **409**, 93.
8 Y. Ueno and M. Okawara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1979, **101**, 1893.
9 R. Aumann, H. Ring, C. Krüger and R. Goddard, *Chem. Ber.*, 1979, **112**, 3644.
10 M. Franck-Neumann, M. P. Heitz and D. Martina, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, **24**, 1615.
11 S. V. Ley, L. R. Cox and G. Meek, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 423.
12 Y. Gao, R. M. Hanson, J. M. Klunder, S. Y. Ko, H. Masamune and K. B. Sharpless, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 5765.